UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/729,006	12/08/2003	Fumitaka Toyomura	03500.017767.	9107
	7590 08/06/200 CELLA HARPER &	EXAMINER		
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			BERDICHEVSKY, MIRIAM	
NEW YORK, NY 10112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/06/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/729,006	TOYOMURA, FUMITAKA				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	MIRIAM BERDICHEVSKY	1795				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 M</u>	av 2009					
	action is non-final.					
	/					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
ologica in addordance with the practice and i	x parte quayre, 1000 O.B. 11, 40	0.0.210.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8,9 and 13-16</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6, 8-9, 13-16</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
· · · · — · ·						
and daspose to receive and an area	olootion roquirollioni.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	. 🗖					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
2)	5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Art Unit: 1795

DETAILED ACTION

Remarks

Claims 1-6, 8-9, and 13-16 are currently pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. Claims 1-5, 8-9 and 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Toyomura (US 20020179140) in view of Kondo (20020038667).

As to claim 1, Toyomura teaches a solar cell module comprising at least one power conversion unit (110) each having a plurality of solar cell elements (106) and a power converter which is a DC-DC converter or an inverter provided in a position corresponding to a region surrounded by all the solar cell elements (107) (Figure 1) ([0062]).

Toyomura is silent to each of the plurality of solar cell elements being electrically connected directly to the power converter.

Kondo teaches directly connecting each solar battery directly to an inverter/power converter (figure 1).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time to electrically connect the converter solar conversion units to an adjacent converter of a conversion unit in order to increase the number of parallel connected modules by sequentially repeating cascade connection and to prevent current over flow, as taught by Kondo ([0003] and [0005]).

Regarding claim 2, Toyomura teaches at least two power conversion units (Figure 8) and modified Toyomura teaches the electrical connection of several solar battery units (solar power converters) to each converter/inverter connected to a converter/inverter of an adjacent conversion unit (Kondo: Figure 1).

Regarding claim 3, Toyomura teaches that the outputs of the solar cell elements are inputted to the power converter corresponding to the solar cell elements and the power converter converts the inputted outputs of the solar cell elements and outputs the converted outputs ([0065]).

Regarding claim 4, Toyomura teaches that all output terminals of the solar cell elements are electrically connected to all input terminals of the power converters (a power converter, 107) corresponding to the output terminals respectively ([0065]).

Regarding claim 5, Toyomura teaches that a plurality of input terminals of the power converters are provided on the same and one surface (As claim 1 only requires

Art Unit: 1795

one power conversion unit with a converter, the sole converter will inherently have its input terminals on the same and one surface).

As to claims 8-9, Toyomura teaches a solar cell module comprising at least one power conversion unit (110) having a plurality of solar cell elements arranged (106) on a plane and a power converter which is a DC-DC converter or an inverter (107) (Figure 1). The Examiner notes that the connections between the solar elements in Figure 1 depict the connections between solar element terminals such that the solar elements can achieve their intended function (electricity flow).

Toyomura is silent to the power converter is arranged in the closest position between the terminal members in a state of arranging the solar cell elements (claim 8) or is arranged in a position of minimizing a sum of all collecting losses when collecting the power generated by the solar cell elements (claim 9).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to arrange the power converter in the closest position between the terminal members or to arrange the power converter in a position of minimizing a sum of all collecting losses when collecting the power generated by the solar cell elements, in order to tailor the output for a particular function and to minimize the wire/connection lengths/materials Moreover, it would be obvious to try arranging the power converter in such a position with a reasonable expectation of success especially since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art and is merely a design choice (MPEP 2141 III).

Art Unit: 1795

Further regarding claims 8-9, Toyomura is silent to each of the plurality of solar cell elements being electrically connected directly to the power converter.

Kondo teaches directly connecting each solar battery directly to an inverter/power converter (figure 1).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time to electrically connect the converter solar conversion units to an adjacent converter of a conversion unit in order to increase the number of parallel connected modules by sequentially repeating cascade connection and to prevent current over flow, as taught by Kondo ([0003] and [0005]).

As to claim 13, Toyomura teaches a solar cell module comprising at least one power generation unit (110) having a plurality of solar cell elements (106) and a terminal box ([0158] and [0165]).

Toyomura is silent to the terminal box being in a position corresponding to a region surrounded by all the solar cell elements to collect outputs of the solar cell elements.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to position the terminal box in a region surrounded by all the solar cell elements in order to minimize the wire/connection lengths/materials. Moreover it would be obvious to try arranging the power converter in such a position with a reasonable expectation of success especially since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art and is merely a design choice.(MPEP 2141 III)

Further regarding claim 13, Toyomura is silent to each of the plurality of solar cell elements being electrically connected directly to the power converter.

Kondo teaches directly connecting each solar battery directly to an inverter/power converter (figure 1).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time to electrically connect the converter solar conversion units to an adjacent converter of a conversion unit in order to increase the number of parallel connected modules by sequentially repeating cascade connection and to prevent current over flow, as taught by Kondo ([0003] and [0005]).

Regarding claim 14, Toyomura teaches that at least two of the power generation units are included and each power generation unit is electrically connected to a terminal box of an adjacent power generation unit (Figure 8) ([0165]).

Regarding claim 15, Toyomura teaches a solar cell module comprising at least one power conversion unit (110) each having two adjacent solar cell elements (106) (figure 1) and a terminal box ([0158] and [0165]).

Toyomura is silent to a terminal box provided in a position corresponding to a region on extension of a gap between the two adjacent solar cell elements to collect outputs of the two adjacent solar cell elements.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to position the terminal box in a gap between the two adjacent solar cell elements to collect outputs of the two adjacent solar cell elements in order to minimize the wire/connection lengths/materials. Moreover it would be obvious to try arranging the

power converter in such a position with a reasonable expectation of success especially since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art and is merely a design choice.(MPEP 2141 III)

Page 7

Further regarding claim 15, Toyomura is silent to each of the plurality of solar cell elements being electrically connected directly to the power converter.

Kondo teaches directly connecting each solar battery directly to an inverter/power converter (figure 1).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time to electrically connect the converter solar conversion units to an adjacent converter of a conversion unit in order to increase the number of parallel connected modules by sequentially repeating cascade connection and to prevent current over flow, as taught by Kondo ([0003] and [0005]).

Regarding claim 16, modified Toyomura as applied to claim 15 above teaches at least two of the power generation units are included and each power generation unit is electrically connected to a terminal box of an adjacent power generation unit ([0165)].

4. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Toyomura and Kondo as applied to claim 1, and further in view of Takehara et al. (6,331,670).

In regard to claim 6, modified Toyomura teaches a variety of materials from which the solar elements can be made ([0055]-[0058]) but is silent to the photovoltaic layer of each of the solar cell element specifically being a pn-junctions or a pin-junctions of two or more layers.

Page 8

Takehara et al. discloses a photovoltaic/solar cell array (Figure 3) of each of the solar cell elements has p-n junctions (303 a, b, c) or pin junctions of two or more layers (col. 9; lines: 13-23). It is obvious to those skilled in the art to utilize a p-n junction for solar cell elements since their ability to allow current to flow easily in only one direction as evidence given by Jackson et al., **Handbook of Semiconductors Technology**, Vol. 2., Wiley, Copyright 2000, p.348.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the p-n junction as disclosed by Takehara et al. to the power converter in the solar cell module of modified Toyomura in order to get current to flow.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6, 8-9 and 13-16 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection as necessitated by amendment.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **MIRIAM BERDICHEVSKY** whose telephone number is (571)270-5256. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th, 10am-8pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexa Neckel can be reached on (571) 272-1446. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/729,006 Page 9

Art Unit: 1795

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/M. B./ Examiner, Art Unit 1795

/Alexa D. Neckel/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1795